

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/809,207		MUTH ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala		2164	

All Participants:

(1) Sathyanarayan Pannala.

(2) Robert C. Kowert, Reg. No. 39,255.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,5,6,11

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sathyanarayan Pannala/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10 am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's Representative for compact prosecution and suggested to combine claim 5 with claims 1, 6 and similarly claim 15 with claim 11, 16 for the possibility of allowance. Applicant agreed in consultation with client and authorized for an Examiner's Amendment to expedite the prosecution.